Contents available at the publisher website: G A F T I M . C O M

International Journal of Theory of Organization and Practice (IJTOP)

Journal homepage: https://journals.gaftim.com/index.php/ijtop/index

Technology Selection in Agile Project Management: Balancing Flexibility, Integration, and Team Capabilities

Nouf Alajja, Alya Alshehhi, Mounir El Khatib*

School of Business & Quality Management, Hamdan Bin Mohammad Smart University. Dubai, UAE

**Corresponding Author*

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords:
Agile, project management, technology selection, Technical Debt, Agile Principles, Integration and Maintainability, Team Capabilities

Received: Feb, 15, 2025
Accepted: Apr, 11, 2025
Published: Jun, 25, 2025

A B S T R A C T

Agile project management has its unique characteristics. One of them is its approach towards technological selection, which it considers critical for fulfilling project requirements and ensuring success. This review aims to fill the existing gap in Agile technology selection literature by investigating the most appropriate Agile technology selection criteria, with a special focus on a tacit relational understanding of core Agile principles, which are flexibility, teamwork, and open-ended evolution/learning. The research draws upon qualitative approaches, obtaining data through semi-structured interviews with practitioners familiar with technology and Agile processes, followed by focus group discussions and document review. The background literature describes the available literature, established understanding, and emerging practices on Agile technology selection frameworks. Based on an extensive literature review, this research finds that Agile project selection critically requires careful deliberation on the level of team skill, ease of integration with existing frameworks, scope for growth, and organizational awareness. Moreover, the analysis demonstrates these technology choices affect cost, quality, and time-to-market, showing that the right technology decisions increase maintainability and reduce technical debt. This study aims to fill these gaps by suggesting a practical approach for Agile teams regarding informed technology selection and management that exists in the literature. Best practices, stakeholder feedback, and Agile principles guide the recommendations set forth, which are uniquely derived from the findings. This work addresses academic scrutiny alongside industrial concern through presenting a refined strategy targeting technology selection within Agile projects.

1. INTRODUCTION

The most effective strategy for managing contemporary and intricate projects is Agile Project Management, especially in software development and IT. Unlike classical project management paradigms, Agile emphasizes flexibility, collaboration, iterative delivery, and the work of cross-functional teams. The self-sufficient iterative cycles known as sprints are fundamental to the Agile approach, and teams' success relies on their capacity to adapt to new and evolving requirements within condensed timelines (Shehab et al., 2023).

Choosing the most appropriate form of technology

to adopt and apply becomes critical as fast-paced Agile environments continue evolving. The range of instruments or frameworks selected by an Agile team can drastically alter productivity, scalability, cost, and, most significantly, value delivery to shareholders. Thus, technology selection needs to consider crafting and implementing Agile strategies alongside customer collaboration, change response, and improvement continuance (Alzoubi et al., 2024; Neyara Radwan et al., 2025).

With more institutions embracing Agile frameworks, technology selection remains a significant challenge. There is tension between the adoption of new, often unstable tools that promise innovation, and trusted technologies that provide stability and ensure maintainability, thus creating balance (Alshurideh et al., 2024; Al-Shawabkeh et al., 2017; Joghee et al., 2021). This research aims to fill this gap by exploring the dynamics of technology selection in Agile contexts and the impact of technology choice on project performance.

The study attempts to obtain real-world insights through Agile practitioner interviews, focus groups, and document reviews which serve as case studies. The combination of academic theory, operational best practices, and new developments seeks to address the gap in the literature and provide a practical reference framework for Agile teams when assessing and choosing technologies for their projects.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Latest Knowledge

The consideration of choosing a fitting technology in Agile projects has garnered interest within academic literature due to its impact on technology selection and the greater vailability of sustaining the success and flexibility of project outcomes. Change, iterative delivery, and stakeholder interactions characterize Agile environments, all of which depend on technologies that enable expedited development cycles, effortless communication, and constant integration and deployment (Joghee et al., 2018; El Khatib et al., 2022; Joghee et al., 2021; Alzoubi et al., 2024).

As noted by Highsmith (2009), Agile goes beyond being a methodology; it is an approach that relies on an appropriate enabling technological framework. This is very well captured by Alqudah et al. (2019) who claim that the lack of a coherent model for technology selection may cripple Agile practices through inadequate support for feedback loops and autonomy on self-organizing teams (Alzoubi et al., 2025; Al-Qasem & A., 2021; Nazeer et al., 2025; Kukunuru et al., 2019; Shwedeh & F., 2021; Al-Qassem & A. H., 2024; Yasir et al., 2024).

There is a general consensus among recent studies that disrespecting the Agile approach when making technology decisions is value detrimental (Ilyas et al., 2023; Alqassem et al., 2022; Sakkthivel et al., 2025; Al Kurdi et al., 2024). As an example, Diebold et al. (2018) argue that the selection of frameworks or tools should not ignore their alignment with core Agile principles such as adaptability and incremental delivery. Additionally, Josyula et al. (2023) study how intelligent automation tools undergo scrutiny for functional capabilities, reasoning that Agile feedback loops and continuous improvement cycles should also be considered (Nuseir et al., 2021; Rana et al., 2025; Karthika et al., 2024; Yas et al., 2024; Khatib et al., 2024).

Security and compliance have recently started to attract attention as important factors. As noted by Raharjo (2023) using AHP (Analytic Hierarchy Process) model, an example includes data security which is dominant in finance or healthcare. The model includes strategic, operational, and situational criteria proving their integration poses supple complexities regarded with technology selection in Agile environments (El Khatib et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2023; Rana et al., 2025; Treacy et al., 2025; El Khatib et al., 2023).

As Agile methodologies gain popularity, more and more researchers focus on technology's role in implementing Agile workflows. For instance, Serrador and Pinto (2015) proved that the application of Agile principles, such as choosing the right technology, increases the project's success rate (Shwedeh et al., 2024). Their work highlights the need to systematically design techniques to aid Agile processes rather than relying on heuristics (Almidfa et al., 2024; Zeeshan et al., 2025; Anifa et al., 2022; El Khatib et al., 2023).

A consistent narrative appears in the literature related to team members' expertise and the associated learning curve that new technologies introduce (Alshurideh MT et al., 2022; Radwan et al., 2025; AlShawabkeh et al., 2023) As Larusdottir and Kyas (2020) point out, with the right tools, progress can be severely stunted if team members do not have the requisite skills or fundamental

understanding, hence posing a need for consideration of training, documentation, and community support in the selection process (Samer Hamadneh et al., 2023; Tanveer et al., 2025; Khatib et al., 2024; Som et al., 2023).

Conclusively, academic contributions to date agree that the selection of technology in Agile projects is an intricate process of making choices that is agile, involves the organizational setting, capabilities of the team, security considerations, and alignment to Agile principles (Alshurideh et al., 2025; Kharbat et al., 2024; Kabiraj et al., 2009; Kharabsheh et al., 2024; Al-Qassem & A. H., 2022). Yet, drawing from this knowledge, there appears to be an absence of holistic frameworks that organize all pertinent factors in a cohesive and usable format—this is the gap that this study intends to address.

2.2. Operational Skills

Theories of Agile are of great value when coupled with the hands-on experience from Agile teams concerning the adoption of technologies. While provided frameworks within best practices across the globe and localities suggest an alignment of operational capabilities with Agile methodologies, it is their dynamic nature that enables the realization (Rosmadi et al., 2025; Naim et al., 2024; Joghee et al., 2023; Alkatheeri et al., 2025; Alzoubi et al., 2024).

One notable skill is evaluating technologies using prototyping and experimentation (Tangri et al., 2023). Agile teams are known to evaluate technologies using iterative pilot implementations tagged with advanced manufacturing complex projects which, as stated in Brandl et al. (2021), go hand in hand with full-scale deployment (Joghee et al., 2013; Shwedeh et al., 2024;). Teams that employ this strategy tend to actualize evidence-based decisions while integrating performability assessments and identifying potential risks (Yas et al., 2024; Shao et al., 2025; Shwedeh et al., 2024; Alzoubi et al., 2024; Shwedeh et al., 2024).

Equally important are the practices that aid in facilitating collaboration across different functions. For Augustine (2005), the disintegration of barriers that create separation between business and technical roles is characteristic of an efficient Agile team (Alhashmi et al., 2025; AI-Nakeeb et al., 2024; Al-Shawabkeh et al., 2011). Their approach to technology issues, where the developers, testers, product owners, and operational people are provided equal opportunity to participate, ensures diverse perspectives are captured (Martinez et al., 2022). Such collaborative frameworks, while meeting a myriad of requirements along the lifecycle of the project mitigate bias and increase efficiency (Hanaysha et al., 2021; Al-Shawabkeh et al., 2016; Kabiraj et al., 2011; Nazeer et al., 2025; AlShawabkeh et al., 2018).

Considering local practices, Raharjo (2023) discusses a particular approach to technology selection that is rooted in a specific area. For instance, in the Indonesian technology industry, there is a focus on implementing cost-effective, scalable, and cloud-centric systems (Al-Shawabkeh et al., 2013; Al Najdawi et al., 2024). This indicates the extent to which Agile teams need to customize their guides to global frameworks, considering the local practicalities that operational choices transcend mere ideas (Al-Qassem et al., 2021; Alzoubi et al., 2024; El Khatib et al., 2023).

Another set of such skills includes learning and teaching dynamically and repeatedly. In the words of AltexSoft (2023), Agile's self-managing high performers routinely review the effectiveness of their available technologies during their retrospective meetings. Agile teams are conscientious about lessons learned in that, based on evolving project goals, enabling technologies are extracted and replaced with better alternatives (Khatib et al., 2024; Al-Qassem et al., 2024; Sihag et al., 2024). This self-appraisal culture supports the sustained equilibrium between technology application and Agile tenets (El Khatib et al., 2022; Al-Qassem & A. H., 2024).

The analysis of documents and the application of self-generated internal data, such as team performance statistics and incident logging, are seen as fundamental in mature Agile environments (Alzoubi et al., 2024; Alhashmi et al., 2025; Ahmed et al., 2024; Al-Qassem et al., 2025). These data help agile organizations evaluate the meaningfulness of the technologies in place in terms of having incurred maintainable, high-quality code as technical debt over time, as noted by Hunt (2006).

Navigating the vendor ecosystem is yet another global trend in operational skills (Alblooshi et al., 2025). Aoufi et al. (2021) suggest that when Agile work is outsourced, an organization must evaluate the functionality of the technology and the vendor's responsiveness, support, and agility (Murtaza et al., 2024; Alzoubi et al., 2025). This requires schools to formulate operational criteria that go beyond tools

to include service-level agreements, upgrade paths, and compatibility with other existing systems (Yas et al., 2024; Anifa et al., 2024; Razmak et al., 2018; Shwedeh et al., 2023).

In Agile approaches to technology selection, operational differentiators are practices like planning and analysis through hypothesis-driven experimentation, cross-team collaboration, changing collaboration paradigm within the organization, and responsive tuning through feedback within work cycles (Habbal et al., 2019; Ma'asor et al., 2023; Maydybura et al., 2024; Joghee et al., 2023; Al Kurdi et al., 2025). Adopters of these practices tend to choose technologies that increase speed of delivery, quality of delivery, and project sustainability over time. These observations are important not only to complement academic literature but more importantly, to help build robust frameworks for decision making in Agile environments (Razmak et al., 2018; Al Hamadi et al., 2024; El Khatib et al., 2023).

3. CASE STUDIES

In the same manner as Agile approaches are shifting, the drives that guide technology selection in Agile projects also change. Focus group discussions of Agile practitioners, product owners, and IT decision makers indicate emerging trends that capture both development of technology and change in organizational culture (Al Najdawi et al., 2024; Shwedeh & F., 2022; Naim et al., 2025; Sun et al., 2016).

Cloud native and platform-agnostic approach adoption increases along Agile frameworks, as does overall demand for cloud-centered tools and platform-independent applications (Nuseir et al., 2019; Pande et al., 2024; Khatib et al., 2024; Kharbat et al., 2021; Al-Kassem & A. H., 2022; El Khatib et al., 2024; Al-Qassem et al., 2022). Feedback from the agile coaching circles and development teams indicates that there is a general shift toward the microservices paradigm and cross-platform compatible tools. The demand for scalability, flexibility, and faster time to market is key drivers of these tools (Khan et al., 2024; AlShawabkeh et al., 2023; Alzoubi et al., 2024; AlNaoimi et al., 2024).

From the same Focus group discussions, the need for integration of DevOps into Agile frameworks was made increasingly evident (Al-Qassem et al., 2024; Alshurideh et al., 2024). Empowered by AltexSoft (2023), focus groups noted the increasing emphasis placed on Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment (CI/CD), automated testing, and monitoring by Agile teams. Integrating Agile and DevOps disciplines optimizes development pipelines and accelerates feedback loops which improve alignment with core Agile principles including focus on rapid delivery and responsiveness to change (Alzoubi et al., 2024; Alblooshi et al., 2025; Ismail et al., 2024).

An emerging trend that has been noticed across numerous sectors is the use of low-code or no-code platforms, particularly for customer-facing applications and internal business tools (AlShawabkeh et al., 2021). This was reported in both global and local focus group meetings, where Agile teams expressed appreciation for these tools owing to their ability to prototype and refine solutions at a rapid pace without significant technical resources (Alshurideh et al., 2022; Joghee et al., 2024; Alzoubi et al., 2024). While there are technical barriers that these platforms do not overcome, they do enable greater cross-functional collaboration by business users to assist in the development of solutions (Aldawsari et al., 2024; Alzoubi et al., 2024; El Khatib et al., 2023; Yas et al., 2024).

Cybersecurity and data privacy concerns are other significant enhanced focus areas that have become prime determinants for selecting particular technologies (Lee et al., 2024). Agile practitioners in the more regulated domains like healthcare or finance are now accustomed to evaluating tools from the perspective of compliance to governing standards like GDPR or HIPAA. Focus groups highlight an increasing concern regarding the incorporation of secure-by-design principles into Agile frameworks, resulting in the increased use of accessible technologies that are equipped with encryption, access restriction, and auditing capabilities (Halder et al., 2024; Som et al., 2023; Alshurideh et al., 2025).

Additionally, the growing adoption of AI-integrated solutions marks a new frontier. Agile teams are starting to adopt AI technologies for estimating projects, bug identification, and automating workflows (Kanwal et al., 2023). Despite being in the early phases, focus groups express guarded optimism over these tools concerning decision-making and efficiency improvements in Agile projects (Kofinas et al., 2016; Al Kurdi et al., 2023).

Change in culture and structure is also shaping technological practices. Focus groups with Agile team leads and product managers suggest there is progress in decision-making autonomy for teams concerning technology (Al-Shawabkeh et al., 2014; Al-Kassem et al., 2022; Pande et al., 2024). Instead of technologies being proprietary from above, upper echelon teams are starting to have the freedom to bypass the bureaucracy as to which technologies they use and are placed within their workflows. This phenomenon upholds the Agile principle of self-organizing teams (Al-Kassem & A. H., 2021; Salloum et al., 2024; Salloum et al., 2024; AlShawabkeh et al., 2021).

As noted in the focus group, sustainability also emerged as a consideration with long-range purpose in mind for some participants understudies in Europe and North America selected (Tanveer et al., 2024; Joghee et al., 2020; Alzoubi et al., 2024; Kurdi et al., 2025; Al Amiri et al., 2024). For some focus group members, especially in Europe and North America, there was a willingness to select tools and cloud providers that advertise their services as green or have well-documented policies for their environmental impact (Al-Nakeeb et al., 2023; Alzoubi et al., 2024; Khadragy et al., 2022; Al Najdawi et al., 2024). Although this shift is still very early, it indicates a developing sense of responsibility beyond organizational boundaries that influences technological choices (Alkatheeri et al., 2025; Kumar et al., 2024; Vij et al., 2025; Kharbat et al., 2017; Alzoubi et al., 2024; AlShawabkeh et al., 2023).

To conclude, the contemporary approaches in the selection of technologies in Agile frameworks reflects the merging of concepts of innovation, security, and cultural shift. Focus group findings add a nuanced voice far detached from theoretical frameworks or witnessed best actions, but these insights are vital for contemporary Agile adaptations.

4. RESEARCH METHOD

This research undertaken is aimed at exploring the selection of an appropriate technology by Agile teams, hence taking a qualitative approach. Qualitative methods are ideal as they offer rich explanations capturing the intricate realities, including personal, behavioural, and contextual aspects that influence decision-making within Agile settings.

4.1. Methodological Approach

The study analysed data using semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and document analysis as the primary methods of data collection. These techniques are rich enough in detail to allow all participants to provide responses relevant to the studied themes, while still maintaining participatory consistency.

Agile professionals such as project managers, developers, and Agile coaches were interviewed, engaging them with questions aimed at understanding their decision-making, selection criteria, and actual execution challenges around technology choices.

Focus groups were led with practitioners from various sectors to elicit experiences related to more contemporary common practices for technology focus and selection.

Analysis of company documents, which included policies, reports on the implementation of Agile frameworks, and documentation on the project was used. This enabled corroboration of the information collected in interviews as well as an understanding of the context of operational and strategic choices made.

4.2. Sampling

A purposive sampling strategy was used within participants having encountered Agile projects with direct involvement in technology selection to invite these participants. Some of the interviewers were contacted through LinkedIn, a social network app to capture a wider range of practices.

Ethical Considerations

Prior to the interviews, participants were briefed on the study objectives and consent was collected. Participants' confidentiality and anonymity were protected, and all data was treated strictly for research purposes.

4.3. Data Gathering

4.3.1. Interview Design

The interview design used was a semi-structured interview approach, which emphasizes flexibility but still strives to achieve uniformity on central issues. The questions were constructed around issues from the literature review, concentrating on the strategic choices of technologies in the Agile milieu.

4.3.2. Interview Questions and Responses

We started the interview by asking about "What are the key factors you consider when selecting a technology in Agile project?" A project manager of

a tech firm answered that they see how familiar the team is with the technology and the level of support the vendor provides. On the other hand, another participant mentioned that the right technology is the one helps us resolve user issues.

The next question is to see the challenges that the institutions faced when it comes to technology selection. The interviewer answered as follows "We chose a technology for one project that the team was unfamiliar with. And it slowed us down to get the appropriate time for training".

To go further with the questions, we asked about their opinion if they think technology choice affects project outcomes, all of them answered yes, one answer was "Yes, choices about technology have an impact on responsiveness and performance." And another "Yes, the team can increase productivity and speed up development with the correct technology."

Another question was to understand the process of technology selection, and project manager respond was as follow "The team leads do the initial short-listing of the tools. After that, we have a decision-making meeting where everybody shares their insights and offers decisions based on their area of expertise."

In addition, interviewers were asked, what is forgotten consideration during advancement of agile technologies? one response was "Different sectors like banking or healthcare, launching a new product without first evaluating the technology for data privacy or regulatory standards might lead to serious issues later. More questions and answers are found in the Appendix below (page: 18-20).

5. DATA ANALYSIS

The information from the first five professionals which includes two project managers, two software developers, and one Agile coach, was gathered during interviews. The interviews were analysed in relation to the variables from the literature review, best practices, and focus group discussions.

5.1. Analysis Based on Variables from the Literature Review

Additional works provided by Highsmith, 2009; Raharjo, 2023; Brandl et al., 2021 noticed that the gaps in literature that pertain to Agile projects highlight flexibility and scalability, integration, expertise of the team, security, community support and alignment with Agile values as pillars of technology selection for agile projects.

The analysis of the responses showed that a hundred percent of respondents stated that integration capabilities as the leading criterion. As one developer puts it, "the ability of a tool to seamlessly connect with our CI/CD pipeline directly impacts our delivery velocity." The emphasis on integration has been observed in other works like AltexSoft (2023).

Focus group discussions also showed that eighty percent of the participants highlighted mentioned flexibility and scalability. Project managers pointed out that the combination of these two concepts is essential. The selected technologies along with the product are bound to accelerate growth. This approach is a proactive way to mitigate technical debt in the future.

In terms of technology selection, all five respondents (100%) emphasized the importance of prior knowledge and experience on a technology's selection critically affecting selection. One of the Agile coaches summed it up as: "A tool can be the best there is, but if a team does not know how to operate it, it will be rendered totally ineffective."

Another important aspect on Agile principles alignment was addressed by 60% of the participants involved in the research. Tools related to collaboration and improvement were perceived as more aligned to the Agile philosophy. Respondents' comments also showed that tools that provided for iterative and transparent processes were highly preferred.

Variable	**Mentioned by (%)**
Integration capabilities	100%
Scalability & flexibility	80%
Team expertise	100%
Agile values alignment	60%
Security & compliance	40%

5.2. Analysis Based on Best Practices

Agile Technology practices consider involving the entire team in evaluation, tool selection through prototyping, prioritization of collaboration-enabling features, alignment with maintenance considerations, and peripheral costs throughout the project's lifecycle (Pries-Heje & Pries-Heje, 2014; Augustine, 2005; AltexSoft, 2023).

The interviews indicated that 80\% of the participants have encountered problems in which tool abandonment or resistance to teams occurred

because the decisions were made at the top of the hierarchy. One developer remarked, "We moved from Tool A to Tool B because it seemed like everyone on the team was not being considered during the decision-making."

The data from the three out of five (60\%) interviewees who said they had done some prototype testing before adopting the final version, is in line with literature which says that hands-on testing is considered a best policy. This also supports the Agile concept of accepting changes that come from feedback.

In terms of long-term maintenance costs, only 40\% said this was a factor during the initial selection which indicates there is a gap in the implementation of the best practices. Those who did consider it found that ignoring this made for increased cost during subsequent sprints.

Best Practice	**Followed by (%)**
Team involvement in decision-making	80%
Prototype evaluation before adoption	60%
Considering long-term maintenance costs	40%
Prioritizing collaboration features	100%

5.3. Analysis Based on Focus Group Insights

Focus group discussions highlighted recently emerging patterns and changing trends in the selection of Agile technologies. In particular, there is greater adoption of cloud-based tools, platforms that enable easy automation, and Agile-ready templates integrated into various technologies.

The interview findings corroborate this information. All five participants noted the convenience of cloud-native tools such as Jira or Azure DevOps because of their flexibility, ease of access, and support for remote personnel.

Moreover, automation arose as a new common theme: four out of five respondents (80%) reinforced the need for enhanced tools that provide automated testing, integration, and deployment. One PM noted, "We selected our tool primarily because it enabled full pipeline automation, and our sprint efficiency increased."

In addition, tools with pre-populated Agile templates (for example, Kanban boards, backlog management, and burndown reporting) were considered advantageous by 60% of interviewees, as these features streamline configuration and enable teams to begin iterations sooner.

Focus Group Trend	**Supported by Interviewees (%)**
Preference for cloud-based tools	100%
Support for automation	80%
Built-in Agile templates	60%

6. THEMATIC INTEGRATION AND SUMMARY

Collapsing all three lenses together—literature variables, focus group trends, best practices—the interviewed data validates Agile-centric priorities integration, collaboration, team capability, and tool flexibility emerged as the most frequent responses. The data suggests that many organizations appear to understand what is needed in theory but are inconsistent in practice, mainly when considering cost control or engaging the teams in the decision-making process.

The interviews have not only echoed the existing literature but have also captured the changes taking place in the world and the innovations happening in Agile technology selection. Based upon the analysis conducted through triangulation, it substantiates that a technology choice in an Agile context is a complex decision related to the people, processes, and ever-changing dynamics of the environment.

6.1. Findings

The interviews, literature reviews, focus groups, as well as the best practices reviewed, contributed to the development of a qualitative data analysis which revealed the following cognitive insights in regard to Agile project technology selection:

For the first key finding, integration capabilities emerged as the most critical factor across all data sources. As discussed in the interviews, the participants highlighted that the capability of a technology to integrate with other tools like CI/CD pipelines or project management systems, greatly enhances workflow efficiency as well as sprint outcomes.

Secondly, technology choice is affected by the team's collective expertise. The lack of supplemental resources means that the available tools must be used, which disables general productivity. The need to adapt quickly to changes

is a trademark of Agile environments, meaning that a lack of intimate knowledge within the organization is a hindrance that results in lost time and increased training costs.

Thirdly, flexibility and scalability were emphasized throughout. Participants preferred tools that were capable of adjusting to new requirements without imposing major changes to pre-existing frameworks or structures. This supports the Agile principle of responding to change over following a predetermined configuration aligned at rigid planning.

Fourth, although alignment with Agile values such as collaboration, iteration and transparency were broadly considered important, not all organizations best practiced selecting technologies to support these values. For example, forty percent of respondents indicated that they did not give adequate attention to the selection criteria and long-term maintenance or sustainability during the selection phase.

Fifth, the highlights from the focus group pointed out automation-supporting tools that integrate technology with agile software engineering practices geared for cloud-native use. The interviews corroborated the deeper trend of these technologies moving towards minimizing manual steps and allowing for live changes to be made.

Lastly, it was noted that consensus was not reached and fully incorporated, which created barriers in adopting tools that are perceived as helpful. This lack of consensus is at odds with the collaborative spirit of Agile, as it signals some degree of mandatory stencil adoption, which is preceded by insufficient pre-purchase consultations.

Putting it all together, optimal and successful project outcomes in Agile frameworks focus on technology selection, balancing system capabilities alongside team willingness, planned collaborative workflows, and ongoing integration of newly emerging processes, technologies, and Agile frameworks. Projects attempting to achieve any of these without adequate consideration tend to suffer higher expenses, inefficient work, or low staff satisfaction.

- *Limitation*

As much as this research was insightful, there were still notable gaps. Time limitations restricted the focus groups and interviews, which might have been conducted in a way to capture many perspectives from various industries or companies of different sizes, including small and medium enterprises.

Access to some Agile large scale project senior decision makers was unavailable, which lacked some strategic insights. The bulk of the participants were mid-level professionals, which while useful, limits the organizational view that shapes strategies and crucial thinking.

There was an emphasis on software based Agile projects which could mean other forms, like hardware or marketing, could be considered to have less relevance.

Quantitative validation was not done due to lack of resources. In absence of time constraints or limited access, incorporating surveys and qualitative findings would provide stronger results.

Lastly, there could be bias in the answers given by the participants based on their experiences, policies defended by the company, and culture which could lack support from the broader industry.

- *Recommendations*

– Regarding the literature review, interviews, and focus groups held, the following strategies are recommended to optimize the selection of technology in Agile projects.

– First of all, an organizational strategy should be developed to incorporate evaluation frameworks that regard integration, flexibility, scalability, and team familiarity. These factors remained predominant across all themes explored.

– Secondly, it was observed from both interviews and focus group discussions that developers, project managers, and Agile coaches associated with a project are essential to the execution and therefore, the decision-making window ought to include all relevant stakeholders. This increases adoption and compliance to team workflows.

– Third, subordinate to the first two priorities, organizations must strive to aid the assertion of Agile by enabling the use of rapid iteration, transparency, collaboration, and access control. The supporting tools were identified as real-time dashboards, automation, and cloud integration.

– Experts recommend having a set of pilot

implementation best practices. Teams may run a sprint on the selected tools prior to a full rollout to test for performance and integration.

- Furthermore, training should not be separate from the process; advanced tools should not be selected without regard to the current expertise of the team as this will lead to project delays. Recommended options include gauging the levels of expertise available and providing onboarding support during transitions.
- Data from the focus groups show that following industry movements, such as AI-driven Agile tools and low-code platforms, can strengthen efficiency and competitiveness, but only when balanced with long-term sustainability and maintainability.
- Above all, Agile retrospectives should feature a formal review and revisit of the kept technologies, ensuring that they align with organizational objectives and project goals, as well as inter-team relationships within the agile team.

7. CONCLUSION

This research sought to identify the appropriate technology in Agile projects from a qualitative perspective. Analysis of literature, interviews with practitioners, reviews of best practices, and focus groups all contributed to the identification of several key factors which consistently affect optimal technology selection.

The results validated that technologies must adhere to Agile principles—especially flexibility, teamwork, and ongoing enhancement. Integration capabilities, having the right expertise, flexibility, and scalability were also deemed as critical. Moreover, organizational culture, decision-making participation, and current trends in relevant industries also significantly determine whether a technology will aid or impede project success.

These findings, despite the limitations relating to range of the research and scope of the study, are useful to teams and managers striving to devise systematic and value-based technology selection frameworks. With the ever-changing nature of Agile, it is imperative to continuously evaluate technology selections in the context of the requirements of the projects and the tenets of Agile.

REFERENCE

Highsmith, J. (2009). Agile project management: creating innovative products. Pearson education.

Alqudah, M. K., Razali, R., & Alqudah, M. K. (2019). Agile methods selection model: a grounded theory study. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 10(7).

Pries-Heje, L., & Pries-Heje, J. (2014). Agile contracts: designing an agile team selection guideline.

Truong, D., & Jitbaipoon, T. (2016). How can agile methodologies be used to enhance the success of information technology projects?. International Journal of Information Technology Project Management (IJITPM), 7(2), 1-16.

Larusdottir, M. K., & Kyas, M. (2020, May). Selecting the Best Agile Team for Developing a Web Service. In Human Computer Interaction and Emerging Technologies: Adjunct Proceedings from the INTERACT 2019 Workshops (pp. 289-302). Cardiff, UK: Cardiff University Press.

Raharjo, T. (2023). A model of critical success factors for agile information technology project in indonesia using analytic hierarchy process (ahp). ADI Journal on Recent Innovation, 5(1Sp), 68-77.

Augustine, S. (2005). Managing agile projects. Prentice Hall PTR.

AltexSoft. (2023, October 3). Agile Project Management: Best practices and methodologies. https://www.altexsoft.com/whitepapers/agile-project-management-best-practices-and-methodologies/

Laoyan, S. (2025, February 2). What is agile methodology? (a beginner's guide) [2025] • asana. Asana. https://asana.com/resources/agile-methodology

Martins, J. (2025, February 12). Understanding the iterative process (with examples) [2025] asana. Asana. https://asana.com/resources/iterative-process

Brandl, F. J., Roider, N., Hehl, M., & Reinhart, G. (2021). Selecting practices in complex technical planning projects: A pathway for tailoring agile project management into the manufacturing industry. CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology, 33, 293-305.

Thesing, T., Feldmann, C., & Burchardt, M. (2021). Agile versus waterfall project management: decision model for selecting the appropriate approach to a project. Procedia Computer Science, 181, 746-756.

Layton, M. C., Ostermiller, S. J., & Kynaston, D. J. (2020). Agile project management for dummies. John Wiley & Sons.

Arjun Raj, A. S., & Vinodh, S. (2016). A case study on application of ORESTE for agile concept selection. Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology, 14(4), 781-801.

Diebold, P., Schmitt, A., & Theobald, S. (2018, May). Scaling agile: how to select the most appropriate framework. In Proceedings of the 19th international conference on agile software development: companion (pp. 1-4).

Hunt, J. (2006). Agile software construction (Vol. 16). London:

Springer.

Shehab, E., Som, A. P. M., & Al-Qassem, A. (2023). Destination image and tourist retention in Jerusalem. Planning Malaysia, 21.

Alzoubi, A. A., Almarzooqi, I. A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Invistigating Benefits of Digitalized in Home Systems. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 381-389). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Neyara Radwan, Rana, B., Halder, B., Pramanik, M., Ahmed, K. O., Alshehri, F., & Pande, C. B. (2025). Impact assessment of climate variables using Google Earth Engine in semi-arid and tropical environments. Acta Geophysica, 73, 5095–5116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-025-01661-y

Alshurideh, M., Al Kurdi, B., Hamadneh, S., Chatra, K., Snoussic,T., Alzoubi, H.M., Alzboun, N., and Ahmed, G. (2024) 'Utilizing Artificial Intelligence (AI) inenhancing customer-supplier relationship: An exploratory study in the banking industry' Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 12 (2024) 1-12. doi:10.5267/j.uscm.2024.5.005

Alzoubi, H. M., Alshurideh, M. T., Al-Gharaibeh, S. M., Al-Shyaab, K. O. M., Al Kurdi, B., Al-Sulaiti, I., Ahmed, G., Bataineh, A.Q., Alquqa, E. K. (2025). Assessing Economic and Infrastructure Constraints on Electric Vehicle Purchase Decisions: A Demographic-Moderated Analysis in Emerging Markets. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 15(3), 471–485.

Al-Qasem, A. (2021). Impact of pandemic Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) on United Arab Emirates tourism industry. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology, 18(7), 2556-2570.

Nazeer, M., Saleem, S., Fatima, N., Imran, M., & Neyara Radwan (2025). Role of zeta potential and slip boundary conditions to improve the heat transfer analysis of hybrid nanofluid. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 18(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2025.101702

Kukunuru, S., Pillai, M. R., & Kassem, A. A. (2019). Creating happiness at workplace: Work team contributions and concerns. Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience, 16(12), 5313-5326.

Ilyas, A., Akbar, S. S., Wajid, S. H., Joghee, S., Fatima, A., & Mago, B. (2023). The growing importance of modern technology in education. 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBATS57792.2023.10111128

Alqassem, A. H., & Panwar, N. S. (2022). Efficacy of accessible tourism dimensions for individuals with disabilities at the National Museum. resmilitaris, 12(4), 2449-2459.

Sakkthivel, A. M., Ahmed, G., Moovendhan, V., & Ramu, N. (2025). A cross-sectional study of mall shopping behaviour of women consumers in United Arab Emirates and India: An empirical study. International Journal of Business Excellence, 36(2), 225–249.

Al Kurdi, B., Nawaiseh, A., Alshurideh, M., Al-Ahmed, H., Al-Sulaiti, K., Allozi, Y., AlZoubi, M., Ahmed, G., Alshaketheep, K. (2024). Investigating the Main Factors Affecting Doing More Business with Existing Customers in Light of Customer Experience: An Empirical Study in the Malls. International Review of Management and Marketing, 14(6), 301–306.

Nuseir, M., AlShawabkeh, A., Leibfried, L. (2021), "Factors affecting the use of social networks as a customer relationship management tool", International Journal of Business Information Systems, 38(2), pp. 179-199.

Rana, B., Halder, B., Neyara Radwan., Pramanik, M., Ahmed, M. F., Alshehri, F., & Pande, C. B. (2025). Remote sensing-based impact analysis of artificial lighting on land surface temperature using Google Earth Engine. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 156, 394. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-025-05625-6

Karthika, D., Ramya, E., Farouk, M., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). An Effect of Big Data Analytics on Pandemic Prevention. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 183-196). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Yas, N., Dafri, W., Yas, H., & Shwedeh, F. (2024). Effect of e-Learning on Servicing Education in Dubai. In Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom (pp. 623–639). https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-52280-2_40

El Khatib, M., Al Abdooli, K., Alhammadi, R., Alshamsi, F., Abdulla, N., Al Hammadi, A., ... & Alshurideh, M. (2023). The Role of Distance Learning Technology in Mitigating Unknown-Unknown Risks: Case of Covid-19. In The Effect of Information Technology on Business and Marketing Intelligence Systems (pp. 551-567). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Khan, M. F., Farooq, M. S., & Joghee, S. (2023). Increase the degree of accuracy by employing a more accurate classification approach. 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBATS57792.2023.10111398

Rana, B., Halder, B., Neyara Radwan, N., Hazra, M., Alshehri, F., Pande, C. B., Shafik, S. S., & Yaseen, Z. M. (2025). Two decadal monthly forest ecological challenges and climate variability analysis in Cambodia. Acta Geophysica. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-025-01621-6

Treacy, S., Brandt, T., Al-Kharusi, S., Bakhadirov, M., Ahmed, G., Militaru, A. M. G., Bakker, D., & Dubickis, M. (2025). Cultural Differences of Needed Qualities Towards Entrepreneurship. Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Economics, 12 (2) 69-108.

Shwedeh, F., Yas, N., Abdijabar, Z., Flayyih, N., Fadli, A., Yas, H., & Allouzi, A. S. (2024). The impact of intellectual property rights and the level of information sensitivity on information security in the United Arab Emirates. Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development, 8(8), 6303. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i8.6303

Almidfa, J. F., Alnawayseh, S. E., Al-Sit, W. T., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Developing Rescue Mobile Application. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and

Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 501-513). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Zeeshan, Mahmoud, E., Khan, W., Saleem, S., Kallel, M., & Neyara Radwan. (2025). Stability analysis of Casson hybrid nanofluid in a rocket engine nozzle with Cattaneo-Christov heat flux and velocity slip effects. ZAMM - Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 105(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.70103

Anifa, M., Ramakrishnan, S., Joghee, S., Kabiraj, S., & Bishnoi, M. M. (2022). Fintech Innovations in the Financial Service Industry, Journal of Risk and Financial Management,15(7), 287. MDPI AG http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jrfm15070287

El Khatib, M., Mahmood, A., Al Azizi, A., Al Marzooqi, A., Al Abdooli, K., Al Marzooqi, S., ... & Alshurideh, M. (2023). A trial to improve program management in government bodies through focusing on program resource management: Cases from UAE. In The effect of information technology on business and marketing intelligence systems (pp. 1315-1340). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Alshurideh MT, Al Kurdi B, Alzoubi HM, et al. Factors affecting customer-supplier electronic relationship (ER): A customers' perspective. International Journal of Engineering Business Management. 2023;15. doi:10.1177/18479790231188242

Neyara Radwan Halder, B., Ahmed, M. F., Refadah, S. S., Khan, M. Y. A., Scholz, M., Sammen, S. S., & Pande, C. B. (2025). Seasonal precipitation and anomaly analysis in Middle East Asian countries using Google Earth Engine. Water, 17(10), 1475. https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4441/17/10/1475

AlShawabkeh , A., Kharbat, F, Abu Daabes, A., and Woolsey, L. (2023), "Technology- based Learning and the Digital Divide for Deaf/hearing Students during Covid-19: Academic Justice lens in Higher Education", Educational Technology & Society, 26(4).

Alshurideh, M., Tariq, E., Al Kurdi, B., Al-Ahmed, H., Al-Sulaiti, K., Alzoubi, H. M., Alzboun, N., Ahmed, G., Allozi, Y., & Alshaketheep, K. (2025). How the company interrelated factors increase business with existing customers with customer hotel experience as a moderator variable: Empirical study in the hotels. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 13(2), 447–454.

Samer Hamadneh,Muhammad Turki Alshurideh, Haitha M.Alzoubi, Iman Akoure, Barween Al Kurdi and Shanmugan Joghee (2023). Factors affecting e-supply chain management systems adoption in Jordan: An empirical study, Uncertain Supply Chain Management,11(2023), 411-422.doi: 10.5267/j.uscm.2023.3.008

Tanveer, A., Iram, Saleem, S., & Neyara Radwan (2025). Peristaltic rotating motion of couple stress nanofluid affected by Soret and Dufour effects: An application to nanotechnology. ZAMM - Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 105(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.70047

Khatib, M. E., Angelova, Y., & Kazim, H. (2024). Digital transformation significance on quality of SMART services: Innovation, mobility, adaptability, analytical ability and trust. In 2024 2nd International Conference on Cyber Resilience (ICCR) (pp. 1–4). IEEE.

Som, A. P. M., Shariffuddin, N. S. M., Zain, W. M. A. W. M., & Al-Qassem, A. (2023). The influence of socio-cultural and economic impact on tourism support: A mediating role of community value. Planning Malaysia, 21.

Kharbat, F., AlShawabkeh, A., Sharairi, M. (2024), "A research-based ontology for collaborative innovation: a methodology leveraging ai and domain expert knowledge", Jordanian Journal of Computers and Information Technology, 10(3), pp. 265 – 280.

Kabiraj, S., & Shanmugan, J. (2009). Indigenous Customer Relationship Management Practices in Indian Automobile Companies: Strategic Implications. International Journal of Management Perspectives, 1(4) 1-11.

Kharabsheh, A. A. E. A., Alnuaimi, M. A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). The Impact of Employee Empowerment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior with Mediating Role of Job Satisfaction at Amman Private Hospitals. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 483-500). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Rosmadi, H. S., Ahmed, M. F., Neyara Radwan Chen Kim Lim, M. B. M., Halder, B., Scholz, M., & Pande, C. (2025). Flood management framework for local government at Shah Alam, Malaysia. Water, 17(4), 513. https://doi.org/10.3390/w17040513

Naim, H., Rani, L., Omair, A., Aziz, T., Ahmed, G., & Rafiuddin, A.(2024). Ownership concentration impact on the firm performance: Evidence from the manufacturing and services industrial sector. Corporate Ownership & Control, 21(4), 28–40.

Joghee, S., Kalra, D., Ramakrishnan, S., Nair, K., & Alzoubi, A. A. (2023). Digital entrepreneurial marketing strategy: An empirical analysis using resource based theory. In 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS) (pp. 1–5). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBATS57792.2023.10111356

Alkatheeri, S., Hilmi, M. F., Ahmed, G., & Abudaqa, A. (2025). Impact of strategic outsourcing on operational performance: A moderating role of information sharing in the healthcare industry of UAE, under the influence of predominantly oil economy. Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, 73(7), 1–14.

Haitham, M. A., & Gouher, A. (2024). Factors affecting attitude to use metaverse technology application. International Journal of Data and Network Science.

Joghee, S., & Kabiraj, S. (2013) 'Innovation in product promotions: A Case of Intended Use of Characters in the Chinese Market' European Journal of Business Management, 5 (1)120-131.

Tangri, K., Joghee, S., Kalra, D., Shameem, B., & Agarwal, R. (2023). Assessment of perception of usage of mobile social media on online business model through Technological Acceptance Model (TAM) and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). In 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS) (pp. 1–6). IEEE.

Yas, H., Dafri, W., Sarhan, M. I., Albayati, Y., & Shwedeh, F. (2024). Universities Faculty's Perception of E-learning Tools: Filling the Gaps for Enhanced Effectiveness. In Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom (pp. 573-588). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Shao, Y., Arshad, Z., Neyara Radwan Shah, Z., Raja, M. A. Z., Almohammadi, S. M., & Khan, W. A. (2025). Investigating the radiative heat transfer analysis of magnetized Cross fluid flow capturing variable properties around paraboloid surface using artificial intelligence stochastic approach. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2024.115887

Shwedeh, F., Salloum, S. S., Aburayya, A., Fatin, B., Elbadawi, M. A., Al Ghurabli, Z., ... & Ismail, B. (2024). Prediction of Retailer's Intention to Use Chat-GPT in Educating Retailers: A Case Study in the UAE. In Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom (pp. 389-402). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Alzoubi, A. A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Implementing Machine Learning for the Analysis of Data. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 211-221). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Alhashmi, M., Hilmi, M. F., Ahmed, G., & Abudaqa, A. (2025). Impact of green HRM on sustainable performance: Moderating role of green employee motivation among the resources industry based public entities in UAE. Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, 73(7), 1–13.

AI-Nakeeb, A., EI Khatib, M., & Zitar, R. A. (2024). From PMO to PMOCoE: How Manage Project Knowledge Process Improves Quality of Organization Knowledge Management Assets Cases from UAE. International Journal for Computers & Their Applications, 31(1).

Al-Shawabkeh, A., Kofinas, A., and Sharp, M., (2011), "Developing an Innovative Knowledge Management Implementation Approach", Published in the proceedings the 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management, Passau, Germany.

Hanaysha, J. R., Al-Shaikh, M. E., Joghee, S., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2021). Impact of Innovation Capabilities on Business Sustainability in Small and Medium Enterprises. FIIB Business Review. https://doi.org/10.1177/23197145211042232

Al-Shawabkeh, A., Romanova, A., and Lim,A., (2016), "Developing an Open Source Knowledge Sharing System for Sustainble Hospitality Industry", University of Greenwich Conference.

Kabiraj, S., & Shanmugan, J. (2011). Development of a conceptual framework for brand loyalty: A euro-mediterranean perspective. Journal of Brand Management, 18(4-5), 285-299 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/bm.2010.42

Nazeer, M., Almohammadi, S. M., Neyara Radwan, N., & Ahmad, W. (2025). Heat transfer analysis in hydromagnetic two-phase Williamson fluid through tilted channel: Applications of gold and silver nanoparticles in solar thermal energy. ZAMM - Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics. https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.202400397

AlShawabkeh, A., Razmak, J., Qasim, A., Kharbat, F., (2018), "Enhancing internal communication in organisations using enterprise social networking", International Journal of Economics and Business Research, 15(1), pp. 72-86.

Al-Shawabkeh, A., Kanungo, R., (2013), "Risk of Default Loans in Jordanian Banks under Credit Risk Classification Models", Banking, Finance, Money and Institutions: The Post Crisis Era" Conference held at University of Surrey.

Al Najdawi, M. H., Zainab, A. A., Shwedeh, F., & Yehia, B. F. (2024). The Role of Legal Laws and Intellectual Property Rules in the Era of using Artificial Intelligence in Scientific Publications.

Al-Qassem, A., & Al-Shamaila, M. (2021). Sustainable tourism development: Case study of Aqaba, Jordan. The International Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research, 15(2), 51-76.

Alzoubi, A. A., AlSuwaidi, A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Analyzing the Approaches for Discovering Privacy and Security Breaches in Iomt. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 345-355). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Alzoubi, H. M., Al Kurdi, B., & Nuseir, M. T. (2024). Empowering Supply Chain Management System with Machine Learning. Cyber Security Impact on Digitalization and Business Intelligence: Big Cyber Security for Information Management: Opportunities and Challenges, 117, 335.

El Khatib, M., Beshwari, F., Beshwari, M., Beshwari, A., Alzoubi, H. M., & Alshurideh, M. (2023). Can Better Capabilities Lead to Better Project and Program Governance? Cases from Dubai. In The effect of information technology on business and marketing intelligence systems (pp. 1295-1313). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

El Khatib, M., Al Khayat, A., Al Mansoori, S., Alzaabi, A., & Ankit, A. (2023, March). Metaverse skills for executives and senior managers: The pros and cons. In 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

Al-Qassem, A. H. (2024). The mediating role of tourist satisfaction in the relationship between destination authenticity and destination loyalty: The case of Machu Picchu. The International Journal of Learner Diversity and Identities (IJLDI), 31(1), 601-613.

El Khatib, M., Al-Shalabi, A., Alamim, A., Alblooshi, H., Alhosani, S., Al-Kaabi, E., ... & Alshurideh, M. (2023). How Drones Can Mitigate Unknown-Unknown Risks Case of Covid-19. In The Effect of Information Technology on Business and Marketing Intelligence Systems (pp. 717-732). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Alhashmi, M., Hilmi, M. F., Ahmed, G., & Abudaqa, A. (2025). Moderating role of green employee behaviour on the relationship between green HRM practices, green leadership and sustainable performance in the resources economy based public firms of UAE. Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, 73(7)14

Ahmed, G., Al Amiri, N., & Abudaqa, A. (2024). Strategic

leadership and economic transformation: The United Arab Emirates (UAE) model. Journal of Global Business Research and Practice, 1(1), 60-77.

Al-Qassem, A. H., Tharwat, A., & Sahaweneh, N. (2025). Work addiction as an effective factor for employee's performance in the banking industry in Egypt. Journal of International Business Policy. Advance online publication.

Alblooshi, M., Hilmi, M. F., Ahmed, G., & Abudaqa, A. (2025). Investigating the role of critical risk factors on the construction project success in the oil and gas industry. Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, 73(7), 1–10.

Yas, H., Dafri, W., Sarhan, M. I., Albayati, Y., & Shwedeh, F. (2024). Universities Faculty's Perception of E-learning Tools: Filling the Gaps for Enhanced Effectiveness. In Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom (pp. 573–588). https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-52280-2_36

Anifa, M., Ramakrishnan, S., Kabiraj, S., & Joghee, S. (2024). Systematic Review of Literature on Agile Approach. NMIMS Management Review, 32(2), 84-105.

Razmak, J., AlShawabkeh, A., Qasim, A., & Kharbat, F. (2018). Examining the factors affecting the adoption of e-health innovative technology. International Journal of Economics and Business Research, 16(2), 196–209.

Shwedeh, F., Aldabbagh, T., Aburayya, A., & Uppilappatta, H. (2023). The impact of harnessing total quality management studies on the performance of smart applications: A study in public and private sectors in the UAE. Migration Letters, 20(S12), 83-108.

Habbal, F., AlShawabkeh, A., Al Nuaimi, A., Safi, A., (2019), "Using virtual reality simulation for optimizing traffic modes toward service level enhancements", Proceedings of the 36th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction, ISARC 2019, pp. 831-837.

Ma'asor, M. A., Som, A. P. M., Yusof, Y., & Al-Qassem, A. (2023). Level of Islamic attributes practices by Muslim-friendly hotels in Malaysia. Planning Malaysia, 21.

Maydybura, A., Chang, A. G., Channa, K. A., Pan, S. H., Alzoubi, H. M., & Chang, B. H. (2024). Carbon emissions and the rising effect of foreign direct investment and trade openness: Evidence from panel data countries. Advances in Decision Sciences, 28(4), 1-22.

Joghee, S., Kabiraj, S., Ramakrishnan, S., M. Alzoub, H., & Turki Alshurideh, M. (2023). Empirical study to understand marketing influence of environmental impact assessment on end users in UAE. Digital Economy and Sustainable Development, 1–12. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s44265-023-00012-3

Al Kurdi, B., Alquqa, E. K., Al-gharaibeh, S. M., Alhyasat, K. M. K., Alzoubi, H. M., Alshurideh, M. T., Al-Oran, O., Ahmed, G., & Al-Sulaiti, G. (2025). Determinants influencing consumer adoption of energy-efficient home appliances in Jordan: An empirical analysis. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 15(4), 780–788.

Razmak, J., AlShawabkeh, A., Qasim, A., & Kharbat, F. (2018). Examining the factors affecting the adoption of e-health innovative technology. International Journal of Economics and Business Research, 16(2), 196–209.

Al Hamadi, H., Alzoubi, H. M., Alyafei, M., Almokahel, A., Alyafei, M., Al-Sit, W. T., & Alnawayseh, S. E. (2024). Evaluation of Purchasers Mentalities When Buying IoT Home Security Devices. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 239-258). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

El Khatib, M., Alzoubi, H. M., Alshurideh, M., & Alzoubi, A. A. (2023). Project Quality Management in the United Arab Emirates Mining and Construction Sector: A Literature Review. The Effect of Information Technology on Business and Marketing Intelligence Systems, 1341-1353.

Al Najdawi, M. H., Shwedeh, F., Abdelmoghies, M. M., Kitana, A., & Ali, A. (2024). Applying artificial intelligence in predicting educational excellence in higher education institutions: A case study in Jordanian universities. Edelweiss Appl Sci Technol, 8(6), 7273-7289.

Shwedeh, F. (2024b). The Integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Into Decision Support Systems Within Higher Education Institutions. Nanotechnology Perceptions, 20(S5), 331–357. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.62441/nano-ntp.v20iS5.26

Naim, H., Rani, L., Yattoo, T. A., Anas, M.,Nizamuddin, M., & Ahmed, G. (2025). Does audit committee quality enhance firm performance within a new corporate law? Corporate Law & Governance Review, 7(2), 112–126.

Sun, J., Garibaldi, M., and Al-Shawabkeh, A., (2016), "A Novel Hybridisation Strategy Based Memetic Algorithm for Constrained Optimisation", Journal of Information Sciences, Volume 340-341, pp. 175-190

Nuseir, M., AlShawabkeh, A., (2019), "Marketing communication in the digital age: Exploring the cultural historical activity theory in examining Facebook's advertising platform", International Journal of Electronic Customer Relationship Management, 12(2), pp. 97-107.

Pande, C. B., Neyara Radwan, N., Salim, H., Ahmed, K. O., Alshehri, F., Pal, S. C., & Pramanik, M. (2024). Forecasting of monthly air quality index and understanding of the air pollution in the Delhi city, India based on machine learning models and k-fold cross-validation. Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 82(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-024-09466-x

Khatib, M. E., Harmoodi, S. A., & Angelova, Y. (2024). Virtual reality as a hub for innovation – Correlations and interdependencies. In 2024 2nd International Conference on Cyber Resilience (ICCR) (pp. 1–5). IEEE.

Kharbat, F.F., AlShawabkeh, A. and Woolsey, M.L. (2021), "Identifying gaps in using artificial intelligence to support students with intellectual disabilities from education and health perspectives", Aslib Journal of Information Management, 73(1), pp. 101-128.

Al-Kassem, A. H. (2022). Accreditation of academic programs:

Implications on quality governance and administration of Taguig City University. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(4), 3908-3923.

El Khatib, M., El Baradie, M., & Alrashedi, M. B. (2024). AI capable emotional robot teacher as a new economical trend in education. In 2024 2nd International Conference on Cyber Resilience (ICCR) (pp. 1–5). IEEE.

Al-Qassem, A., Agha, K., Mendoza, S., & El-Farra, E. (2022). Emergency management and its implications for the hospitality industry during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(2), 1824-1839.

Khan, W. A., Hussain, Z., Neyara Radwan, N., Ali, M., & Jamal, N. (2024). Characterizing non-similar analysis for chemically reactive magnetized Sutter by bidirectional fluid flow capturing features of non-linear thermal radiation. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 17(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2024.101152

AlShawabkeh, A., Nuseir, M.T., Urabi, S. (2023), "The Impact of Social Media Usage on Companies' Customer Relationship Management (CRM)", Studies in Computational Intelligence , Vol. 1056, pp. 147-172

Alzoubi, A. A., Alhammadi, M. K., Alhammadi, K. A., Alhammadi, A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Investigating Impact of Ethical Considerations on IoMT Medical Devices of UAE Healthcare System. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 391-402). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

AlNaoimi, B., AlRaesi, R., AlKaboory, O., Aziz Alrasasi, A., Al-Sit, W. T., Alnawayseh, S. E., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Data Warehousing for Assisting the Decision Makers. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 197-209). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Al-Qassem, A. H., Singh, N., Chopra, A., & Haddad, A. M. (2024). Impact of coronavirus crisis (COVID-19) on the sentiments of travelers in UAE. The International Journal of Learner Diversity and Identities (IJLDI), 31(1), 601-613.

Alshurideh, M., Al Kurdi, B., Okleh, I., Chatra, K., Al Omari, T.G.B., Alzoubi, H.M., Alzboun, N., Ahmed, G. and Abduljabbar, O.J. (2024) 'Factor affecting internet information credibility: The moderating effect of gender' International Journal of Data and Network Science,8 (2024)1-8. doi:10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.5.011

Alzoubi, A. A., ALKaabi, R., ALAmeri, S., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Contemporary Security Concerns in IoT-Based Devices with Healthcare System. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 423-436). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Alblooshi, M., Hilmi, M. F., Ahmed, G., & Abudaqa, A. (2025). The moderating effect of risk management on the relationship between critical risk factors and project success: A case of construction projects in the oil and gas industry of the UAE. Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, 73(7), 1–13.

Ismail, M., Neyara Radwan, Khan, W. A., Hussain, Z., & Hussain, I. (2024). Analyzing numerical insights of entropy generation and existence of chemotactic microorganisms for magnetized radiative Carreau nanofluid flow subjected to stratified medium via viscous dissipation. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 17(4). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2024.101197

AlShawabkeh, A., Woolsey, L., Kharbat, F. (2021), "Using online information technology for deaf students during COVID-19: A closer look from experience", Heliyon, 7(5),e06915.

Alshurideh, M. T., Al Kurdi, B., Alzoubi, H. M., Ghazal, T. M., Said, R. A., AlHamad, A. Q., ... & Al-Kassem, A. H. (2022). Fuzzy assisted human resource management for supply chain management issues. Annals of Operations Research, 1-19.

Joghee, S., Kabiraj, S., Ramakrishnan, S., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Consumer Decision-Making Study Regarding the SUV Market in the Indian Context. Indian Journal of Marketing, 54(11), 8-25.

Alzoubi, A. A., Al Neyadli, A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Security Flaws in Medical Wearables Devices Used in Health Care Systems. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 291-299). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Aldawsari, S. H., Tan, W. S., Elsherazy, T. A., Chang, B. H., Alzoubi, H. M., & Ognjanović, I. (2024). A Quantile Dependence among Exchange Rate, Stock Prices and Oil Prices: An Empirical Evidence from India. Annals of Financial Economics, 19(03), 2450010.

Alzoubi, A. A., Shammas, S., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Investigating E-Supply Chain Challenges in The Internet of Medical Things (IoMT). In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 357-367). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

El Khatib, M. M., Abidi, N., Al-Nakeeb, A., Alshurideh, M., & Ahmed, G. (2023). Dubai smart city as a knowledge based economy. In The effect of information technology on business and marketing intelligence systems (pp. 1657-1672). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Yas, H., Aburayya, A., & Shwedeh, F. (2024). Education quality and standards in the public school and the private school-case study in Saudi Arabia. In artificial intelligence in education: The power and dangers of ChatGPT in the classroom (pp. 563-572). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Halder, B., Chatterjee, P., Rana, B., Bandyopadhyay, J., Pande, C. B., Ahmed, K. O., Elkhrachy, I., & Neyara Radwan. (2024). Delineating the climate change impacts on urban environment along with heat stress in the Indian tropical city. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, 136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2024.103745

Som, A. P. M., & Al-Qassem, A. (2023). The influence of social and economic inequalities on support for tourism in developing communities: An intervening effect of

tourism resources. Planning Malaysia, 21.

Alshurideh, M. T., Alzoubi, H. M., Al Kurdi, B., Hamadneh, S., Ahmed, G., Al-Sulaiti, K., Bataineh, A. Q., Alquqa, E. K., Ozturk, I. (2025). Consumer and Economic Influences on Electric Vehicle Adoption: The Mediating Role of Attitudes and the Moderating Effect of Demographics. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 15(3), 214–229.

Lee, K. L., Amin, A. J., Alzoubi, H. M., Alshurideh, M., El Khatib, M., Joghee, S., & Nair, K. (2024). Investigating the factors affecting e-procurement adoption in supply chain performance: An empirical study on Malaysia manufacturing industry. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 12(2), 615-632.

Kofinas, A., Al-Shawabkeh, A., and Lim,A., (2016), "Critical Success Factors of Using Social Media in Higher Education", chapter in a book titled Analysing the Strategic Role of Social Networking in Firm Growth.

Kanwal, N., Irtaza, G., Joghee, S., Ateeq, K., & Khadim, A. (2023). A safe and reliable method for data exchange in the cloud. 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICBATS57792.2023.10111401

Al-Shawabkeh, A., Lim, A., (2014), "The Use of Social Media in Higher Education Learning", European Conference on Social Media ECSM 2014.

Al-Kassem, A. H., & Marwaha, S. (2022). Employee satisfaction and its impact on faculty members' performance at Al Ain University of Science and Technology in the UAE. NeuroQuantology, 20(2), 272-287.

Pande, C. B., Sidek, L. M., Varade, A. M., Elkhrachy, I., Neyara Radwan Tolche, A. D., & Elbeltagi, A. (2024). Forecasting of meteorological drought using ensemble and machine learning models. Environmental Sciences Europe, 36, 160. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-024-00975-w

Al Kurdi, B., Alshurideh, M. T., Akour, I., Alzoubi, H. M., Obeidat, Z. M., Hamadneh, S., & Joghee, S. (2023). Factors affecting team social networking and performance: The moderation effect of team size and tenure. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 9(2), 100047.

Al-Kassem, A. H. (2021). Significance of human resources training and development on organizational achievement. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology, 18(7), 693-707.

Salloum, S. A., Almarzouqi, A., Aburayya, A., Shwedeh, F., Fatin, B., Al Ghurabli, Z., ... & Alfaisal, R. (2024). Redefining Educational Terrain: The Integration Journey of ChatGPT. In Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom (pp. 157-169). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Salloum, S. A., Almarzouqi, A., Aburayya, A., Shwedeh, F., Fatin, B., Al Ghurabli, Z., ... & Alfaisal, R. (2024). Embracing ChatGPT: Ushering in a Revolutionary Phase in Educational Platforms. In Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom (pp. 171-183). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

AlShawabkeh, A., Nuseir, M.T., and Aljumah, A. (2021), "Impacts of social media on the buying intention of the consumers in Edinburgh, UK", International Journal of Procurement Management, 14(4), pp. 470-486.

Tanveer, A., Jarral, S., Al-Zubaidi, A., Saleem, S., & Neyara Radwan. (2024). The varying viscosity impact in an inclined peristaltic channel with diffusion-thermo and thermo-diffusion. ZAMM - Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 104(5). https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.202300794

107. Joghee, S., Alzoubi.H & Dubey, A. (2020) "Decisions Effectiveness of FDI Investment Biases at Real Estate Industry: Empirical Evidence from Dubai Smart City Projects", International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, 9(3):3499-3503

Alzoubi, A. A., Alzarooni, M. Y., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Privacy Violation and Information Misuse in the Internet of Medical Things (IoMT). In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 323-332). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Alzoubi, H. M., Alshurideh, M., El Khatib, M., Shamot, M. D., Joghee, S., Nair, K., & Al-Gharaibeh, S. M. (2024). Optimizing supply chain excellence: Unravelling the synergies between IT proficiencies, smart supply chain practices, and organizational culture. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 12(3), 1855-1866.

Kurdi, B. Al, Alzoubi, H. M., Tan, C. L., El Khatib, M., Yanamandra, R., Ozturk, I., & Shwedeh, F. (2025). Internet of Things-Driven Information Sharing: A Strategic Approach to Mitigating Supply Chain Risks. International Review of Management and Marketing, 15(3), 325–332. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.32479/irmm.19474

Al Amiri, N., Ahmed, G., Al Qawasmeh, K. and Afana, H. (2024) 'Effect of crises on the healthcare marketing mix and customer satisfaction: evidence from the UAE during the COVID-19 pandemic', Middle East Journal of Management, 11(5) 471–495.

Al-Nakeeb, A., El Khatib, M., Zitar, R. A., Alhosani, A., & Alhosani, I. (2023). Project Manager's role in manage Project knowledge process: An approach to enhance Project quality. International Journal for Computers & Their Applications, 30(4).

Alzoubi, A. A., Nikoo, S. A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Investigating Contemporary Ethical Issues of Using Blockchain in E-Supply Chain in Internet of Medical Things (IOMT). In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 437-452). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Khadragy, S., Elshaeer, M., Mouzaek, T., Shammass, D., Shwedeh, F., Aburayya, A., ... & Aljasmi, S. (2022). Predicting diabetes in United Arab Emirates healthcare: artificial intelligence and data mining case study. South East. Eur. J. Public Heal, 5.

Al Najdawi, M. H., Shwedeh, F., Mokhtar Abdelmoghies, M., Kitana, A., & Ali, A. (2024). Applying artificial intelligence in predicting educational excellence in higher education institutions: A case study in Jordanian universities. Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology, 8(6), 7273–7289.

https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v8i6.3579

Alkatheeri, S., Hilmi, M. F., Ahmed, G., & Abudaqa, A. (2025). Examining the nexus between strategic outsourcing and operational performance: A case of the health care industry of the UAE under the resource-based economy. Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels, 73(7), 1–13.

Kumar, P., Neyara Radwan., Vij, M., & Vij, A. (2024). The role of robotics in enhancing service quality, efficiency, and customer satisfaction in the hospitality industry. IEEE Xplore. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCR61006.2024.10532969

Vij, M., Vij, A., Kumar, P., Masoud, E. Y., Al Kurdi, B., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Digital Marketing Strategies in the UAE: The Mediating Role of Predictive Analytics in Enhancing Customer Conversion. International Review of Management and Marketing, 15(4), 380.

Kharbat, F., Razmak, J., AlShawabkeh, A., (2017), "Proposing UAE-patient portal: A new direction in the health services", 2017 Medical Technologies National Conference, TIPTEKNO 2017.

Alzoubi, A. A., Mubarak, S. O., Sultan, M. K., Ali, A. O., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2024). Investigating the Impact of Ethical Concerns on the Security and Privacy of Medical Devices in the UAE. In Technology Innovation for Business Intelligence and Analytics (TIBIA) Techniques and Practices for Business Intelligence Innovation (pp. 465-479). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

AlShawabkeh, A., Kharbat, F., Razmak, J. (2023), "Knowledge Management Role in Enhancing Customer Relationship Management in Hotels Industry in the UK", 2022 9th International Conference on Social Networks Analysis, Management and Security, SNAMS 2022.

Al-Shawabkeh, A., Kanungo, R., (2017), "Credit risk estimate using internal explicit knowledge ", Investment Management and Financial Innovation, 14(1), pp. 55-66.

Joghee, S., Dubey, A., & Sonia. S (2021) "Investigation of Green Marketing Practices of UAE Hypermarkets", International Journal of Enterprise Network Management. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJENM.2021.10043386

Joghee, S., & Dubey, A. (2018) 'Performance Measurement in Entrepreneurial Marketing' The Journal of Human Resource and Adult Learning, 14 (1) 78-84.

El Khatib, M., AlQurashi, M., AlHashemi, S., AlKetbi, M., & AlHarmoodi, S. (2023, March). Digital Platforms' Influence on Project Management. In 2023 International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security (ICBATS) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

El Khatib, M., Al-Nakeeb, A., Alketbi, A., Al Hashemi, A., Mustafawi, F., Almansoori, R., ... & Alshurideh, M. (2023). Impact of Remote Work on Project Risks Management: Focus on Unknown Risks. In The Effect of Information Technology on Business and Marketing Intelligence Systems (pp. 747-766). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Joghee, S., Al Kurdi, B., Alshurideh, M., Alzoubi, H.M., Anu V., Murali M., & Samer H., (2021). Expats impulse buying behaviour in UAE: A customer perspective. Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24(S1), 1-24.

Alzoubi, H. M., Alshurideh, M. T., El Khatib, M., Shamout, M. D., Yanamandra, R., Nair, K., & Al-Gharaibeh, S. M. (2024). Exploring the nexus between innovation orientation, green supply chain management, and organizational performance in e-retailing industry. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 12(3), 1923-1934.

Shwedeh, F. (2021). The Impact Of Smart City Policy Timeliness And Technology Readiness On Smart City Performance In Dubai: The Moderating Effect Of Financial Availability.

Al-Qassem, A. H. (2024). Performance: The mediating role of job satisfaction and pro-environmental behavior. Taylor & Francis Cogent Business & Management, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2328316

Yasir, M., Saleem, S., Khan, M., & Neyara Radwan (2024). Dynamics of magnetized viscous dissipative material of hybrid nanofluid with irregular thermal generation/absorption. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering, 58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2024.104359

Khatib, M. M. E., & Ahmed, G. (2024). Achieving excellence in business practices through artificial intelligence: a case study of the Dubai public sector. International Journal of Public Sector Performance Management, 14(2), 262-277.

El Khatib, M., Ankit, A., Al Ameeri, I., Al Zaabi, H., Al Marqab, R., Alzoubi, H. M., & Alshurideh, M. (2023). The Role and Impact of Big Data in Organizational Risk Management. In The Effect of Information Technology on Business and Marketing Intelligence Systems (pp. 2139-2153). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Al-Qassem, A. H. (2022). Efficiency and effectiveness of human resource development in the tourism industry of the United Arab Emirates. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(2), 1811-1823.

Shwedeh, F., Nour, M. A., & Akour, I. (2024). Optimizing augmented reality adoption in higher education: A comprehensive analysis of factors impacting data management efficiency. Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development, 8(9), 6232. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i9.6232

Shwedeh, F. (2024a). Designing Delight: Exploring the Nexus of Interactive Design, User Experience, and Psychological Theory in Banking Chatbot. Nanotechnology Perceptions, 20(S4), 378–398. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.62441/nano-ntp.vi.650

Shwedeh, F., Salloum, S. S., Aburayya, A., Fatin, B., Elbadawi, M. A., Al Ghurabli, Z., ... & Akkass, M. A. (2024). The impact of educating managers in adopting AI applications on decision making development: a case study in the UAE. In Artificial Intelligence in Education: The Power and Dangers of ChatGPT in the Classroom (pp. 591-603). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Martinez, E. B., Al-Kassem, A. H., & Aguenza, B. B. (2022). Operationalization of Negosyo Center as an

entrepreneurial strategy to selected micro, small, and medium enterprises in Taguig City. Global Business & Management Research, 14.

Khatib, M. E., Al-Nakeeb, A., Binkhadim, S., & Shehata, O. (2024). Modern digitization, technical integration, and social sustainability: Together toward better quality of life. 2024 2nd International Conference on Cyber Resilience (ICCR), 1–5. IEEE.

Al-Qassem, A. H., Tharwat, A., & Marwaha, S. (2024). The impact of digital transformation readiness towards the new normalcy in the education system. The International Journal of Learner Diversity and Identities (IJLDI), 31(1), 601-613.

Sihag, P., Mehta, T., Sammen, S. S., Pande, C. B., Puri, D., & Neyara Radwan (2024). Predictive modelling of nitrogen dioxide using soft computing techniques in Agra, Uttar Pradesh, India. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, 134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2024.103589

Murtaza, A., Rehman, A., Malik, S. U. R., Ahmed, G., Abbas, A., & Khan, M. A. (2024). A model-based approach to enhance the communication between the participants of collaborative business processes. IEEE Access, 12, 121780 – 121791 3450690.

Alzoubi, H. M., Tan, C. L., El Khatib, M., Alshurideh, M. T., Shwedeh, F., Yanamandra, R., & Lee, K. L. (2025). Smart Government Initiatives: Transforming Global Supply Chains through Digital Change. International Review of Management and Marketing, 15(3), 209–217. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.32479/irmm.18962

Singh, R., Kumar, D., & Sagar, B. B. (2019, November). Analytical study of agile methodology in information technology sector. In 2019 4th International Conference on Information Systems and Computer Networks (ISCON) (pp. 422-426). IEEE.

Aoufi, A., Schoeman, M., & Turner, N. (2021). How to outsource Agile projects effectively. Research-Technology Management, 65(1), 59-66.

Cobb, C. G. (2011). Making sense of agile project management: balancing control and agility. John Wiley & Sons.

Ciric Lalic, D., Lalic, B., Delić, M., Gracanin, D., & Stefanovic, D. (2022). How project management approach impact project success? From traditional to agile. International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, 15(3), 494-521.

Serrador, P., & Pinto, J. K. (2015). Does Agile work?—A quantitative analysis of agile project success. International journal of project management, 33(5), 1040-1051.

Josyula, S. S., Suresh, M., & Raghu Raman, R. (2023). How to make intelligent automation projects agile? Identification of success factors and an assessment approach. International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 31(5), 1461-1491.

Sawyer, J. T., & Brann, D. M. (2008, December). How to build better models: applying agile techniques to simulation. In 2008 Winter Simulation Conference (pp. 655-662). IEEE.

Rasnacis, A., & Berzisa, S. (2017). Method for adaptation and implementation of agile project management methodology. Procedia Computer Science, 104, 43-50.

Reifer, D. J. (2002). How good are agile methods?. IEEE software, 19(4), 16-18.